Spatio-temporal variations of the planktonic communities in a North Atlantic Marine Protected Area and their potential links with *Sardina pilchardus* (Walbaum, 1792) catches

Fabio Benedetti¹, Laëtitia Jalabert¹, Marc Sourisseau², Beatriz Beker³, Amanda Elineau¹, Caroline Cailliau⁴, Jean-Olivier Irisson¹, Marc Picheral¹, <u>Lars Stemmann¹</u>, Patrick Pouline







Methods Contex

Contextual data

Sardina

- Sardina pilchardus (Walbaum 1972) is an important actor of the marine ecosystem
- Feeds many top predators (marine mammals and birds)
- But is commercially exploited (« bolincheurs »)



- Non-selective filter-feeder that is favoured when smaller plankton develop
- The Iroise Sea fishing of Sardina presents strong yet unexplained seasonal and inter-annual fluctuations



Van der Lingen (2006)

Available data

- from 2011 to 2015 (spring, summer, autumn, 124 stations/time)
 - In situ measurements of:
 - temperature (surface+ bottom), salinity, pH, nutrients, Chlorophyll-a, Phaeophytin-a
 - compared to PREVIMER data provided by IFREMER (satellite data re-analysis)
 - Phytoplankton counts from 5L Niskin water samples with diatoms, dinoflagellates and nanoflagellates counts - no picophytoplankton
 - Mesozooplankton abundances, biovolumes, dry weights and composition from WP2 vertical tows
- Monthly sardines catches from IFREMER

Par Naturel Marin de la mer d'Iroise (PNMI)



Imaging data of plankton

✓ provide Indicators of ecosystem status (abundance, biomass, taxa, size spectra), particularly useful for marine resources management.

✓ can be obtained for all trophic levels (from bacteria to fish larvae) using commercially available sensors.

 \checkmark can be obtained by lab scanners or by in situ sensors, they provide high frequency data suitable for spatial monitoring.

These indicators can be used to develop and constrain biogeochemical models, Lagrangian model of particles/plankton transport, population dynamics models and also end to end ecosystem models for fisheries management.



Image analysis, features extraction, automatic recognition and expert validation



PNMI project ~ 250 000 vignettes, 100 643 are of organisms & 30 plankton categories

FILTERS :

images to genomic taxonomy (uniEuk).

ECOTAXA (>6 10⁶ images)







images in a network (intranet/internet), share image

metadata/data in a secured mode and directly connect



Sardina

Phytoplankton



Methods

Definition of copepod size distributions and size indices

Mean size

 Ratios (small copepods/ large copepods) of abundances/ bio volumes/ dry weight





8

Methods

Time series of SST and [Chl-a] - median + quantiles











- In situ SST vs. PREVIMER re-analysis
- Pretty good agreement
- Fixed coordinates or model biases could explain discrepancies

Introduction Methods Contextual data Phytoplankton Zooplankton Sardina Conclusion

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on cruise hydrobiological data



Introduction Methods Contextual data Phytoplankton Zooplankton Sardina Conclusion

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on cruise hydrobiological data



Monthly averages of [Chl-a] and phytoplankton counts

- Seasonal variations too.
- Higher [Chl-a] in spring
- less clear signal on counts





Correspondence Analysis (CA) on large phytoplankton groups counts (not transformed)



Sardina



Methods

Absolute abundances (ind/m3)

- Dominance of non identified Calanoida (probably Paracalanidae and Clausocalanidae)
- Acartiidae are very abundant too (neritic)
- Appendicularia and Cladocera quite important
- Nauplii ~ Cirripedia
- Actinopterygii contains eggs
- Meroplankton: nauplii, Cirripedia, Decapoda, Echinodermata, Bivalvia, Harpacticoida, Annelida
- Open ocean plankton: Thecosomata, Euphausiacea, Oncaeidae, Calanidae, Corycaeidae, Chaetognatha, Amphipoda...
- Same orders of magnitude than previous studies (Schultes et al. 2013); but less marked longitudinal gradient (since transects cannot go out side the PNMI).

Seasonal variations in copepod abundances



- N2011>N2003>N2015
- Exact same pattern for ellipsoïdal biovolumes (Vandromme et al., 2012) or dry weights (Lehette & Hernandez-Leon, 2009)



RDA 1 (61.9%)





Copepod size ratios seasonal distribution



• All clearly displaying significant seasonality !

Monthly landings (CPUE) of sardines landings from fishing in the PNMI (corrected from sampling effort, i.e. number of fishing boast)



- Strong seasonal variations in sardine catches.
- Fishes are present all year long but are too small before summer (and front formation?) for the fishermen.
- Seems like catches have decreased over the past 8 years.
- Data and fishermen agree that 2015 was a dreadful year for sardines...









CONCLUSIONS

- Phytoplankton communities are strongly modulated (in terms of size and composition) by seasonal variations.
- At the first order, mesozooplankton community composition is structured by a west-east depth gradient and [Chl-a]/ Diatoms dominance gradient.
- At the second order, mesozooplankton community composition is structured by seasonal changes in temperature.
- Copepod size ratios (small/large) increase with temperature: smaller copepods dominate in warmer conditions (summer and autumn).
- Monthly variations in sardines landings, probably linked to front formation and changes in the size structure and composition of the plankton community which are beneficial for *S. pilchardus* to build reserves for the coming winter.